UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/650,451 | 08/27/2003 | Subhas C. Bose Jayappa Veeramma | 73385-669802 (013210US) | 7137 |
| | 7590 06/21/201 TOWNSEND & STO | EXAMINER | | |
| TWO EMBARO EIGHTH FLOO | CADERO CENTER | NADAV, ORI | | |
| | SCO, CA 94111-3834 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2811 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/21/2011 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docket@kilpatricktownsend.com ipefiling@kilpatricktownsend.com jlhice@kilpatrick.foundationip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. 10/650,451 | | Applicant(s) | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | | BOSE JAYAPPA VEERAMMA ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | ORI NADAV | 2811 | | |

| | ORI NADAV | 2811 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence add | dress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>14 June 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | the same day as filing a No replies: (1) an amendment, eal (with appeal fee) in comp | tice of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evidence, obliance with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the or (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07() | dvisory Action, or (2) the date s ater than SIX MONTHS from th b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WH | e mailing date of the final reject | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding a hortened statutory period for re than three months after the ma | amount of the fee. The appropreply originally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37 | 7(e)), to avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since a |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti | nsideration and/or search (s w); | ee NOTE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | corresponding number of fin | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | 21. See attached Notice of N | · | , |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | will be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,26,28 and 30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections unde vand was not earlier presen | r appeal and/or appellant fai ted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a I). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | · | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the applic | ation in condition for allowal | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Ori Nadav/ Primary Examinei | r, Art Unit 2811 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations, as recited in claim 1, warrant further consideration and/or search.